Hooked on the ‘Pulse’

Viewers have a big role in Rafael Lozano-Hemmer’s tech-based work, showing at Irvine’s Beall Center.

JOHI FINKEL

Most art museums still don’t know what to do with it. Art critics still don’t know what to call it. Over a decade since the dot-com boom burst, the field known as new media art, digital art, interactive art or electronic art still occupies a sort of ghetto, with its own billi­nals, festivals and even its own exhibition centers. “I work with technology because it’s inevitable. Our politics, our culture, our economy, everything is running through globalization,” says Rafael Lozano-Hemmer, a prominent artist in this field, which he prefers to call “ex­perimental” art. “But these kinds of works are not very popular with art critics. They’re seen as a form of gadgetry.”

Lozano-Hemmer waved at one of his artworks — a large tank of water rigged to sense your heart rate and create corresponding ripples in the water — as if to prove the point. “This looks like something straight out of a science fiction movie. There’s an electrocardiogram, solenoids, a ripple tank — everything about it is not.”

The artist was explaining why technology-based art does not always get respect. He was also explaining why he found himself in Irvine at the Beall Center for Art and Technology and not in a major art museum for his first solo show in California.

Born in Mexico City and now based in Montreal, Lozano-Hemmer has had major gallery shows in New York and London with Billboards and Hausch of Venice. He represented Mexico in the 2007 Venice Biennale. He created a Web-based, user-driven light show in Vancouver for the 2010 Winter Olympics. This year the Guardian called him “arguably the world’s most famous electronic artist.” But he has not had a solo show in California until now.

“It’s surprising,” says Beall Center curator David Familian, who has shown other tech-savvy artists like Jim Campbell. “This is a guy who does major public commissions in Europe. You would think that a larger museum with a larger budget would be doing this show instead.”

Instead, Lozano-Hemmer was sitting in a 2,500-square-foot campus gallery in Irvine before the opening of his exhibition there, talking about why he likes California. “I love the beach for the way it brings together such a mix of people,” he says, wearing a T-shirt of his own design that says “United Apostates.” (“It’s my brand,” he says with a laugh. “I have many.”)

The previous week he had staged a project called “Sandbox” on the Santa Monica beach for “Glow,” the not-quite-all-night festival. “His work was an experiment in scale, eliciting a cat-chasing-virtual-tail sort of interaction. Infrared surveillance cameras detected people walking on a certain 3,000-square-foot stretch of sand so they could be projected as tiny dot-like images onto a sandbox Lozano-Hemmer built nearby. At the same time, the hands of anyone in the sandbox were picked up by other cameras and projected in large scale, so that images of massive, monstrously huge hands could reach down and touch (visually if not physically) the people who were walking in that stretch.

“Some people find my work empowering and fun,” Others find it frightening,” he says. “My work is on the border between being very seductive, inviting, inclusive and being predatory, ominous, Orwellian.”

The three installations in Irvine, all from his “Pulse” series, do not invade your personal space in threatening ways. But they do take intimate bodily information, your heart rate and, in one work, your fingerprint too, and broadcast it large for others to see.

The artist had the idea for “Pulse Room,” the first work in the series and the largest in the Irvine show, four years ago. “This is a cheesy story,” he says, “but my wife was pregnant with twins, and we once got two ultrasound machines so we could listen to both of their hearts simultaneously. The synchronization they were creating was so interesting.”

He describes the effect as “sym­phonic,” and it gave him an idea: How could he visualize the electric­cal signals of the heart?

Ultimately, for an installation in Puebla, Mexico, and then for the Venice Biennale, he decided to hang 150 pear-shaped 100-watt incandescent light bulbs in even rows from the ceiling and create a device with handles to read your heart rate. Only instead of seeing a number flash on an LED display, like from a stationary bike, the light bulb closest to you on the scale will slowly light up and then flicker at the rate of your heart. Once you release the handles, that bulb dims and your heartbeat animates the next bulb, so that at any given point the 150 bulbs reflect the heartbeats of 150 participants.

Participation is key for Lozano-Hemmer, who worked in radio and performance art after studying physical chemistry at Concordia University in Montreal. “There’s a switch in the way we understand art,” he says. “It used to be all about the viewers waiting for artwork to inspire them. Now it’s the artworks that are waiting for people to feed, sense and inspire them.”

The Frankenstei­like notion of the artwork coming to life also applies to the other two works in the show at Irvine. Insert your finger into the sensor for “Pulse Index,” and an image of your fingerprint pulsates on a flat-screen TV to the beat of your heart. But much like “Pulse Room,” the image you generate is not alone — it becomes part of a larger community of 509 fingerprints on the screen, representing 509 people.

“This show is a collaboration of people’s vital signs,” the artist says.

Likewise, a still bed of water at first glance, “Pulse Tank” comes to life when you insert a finger into a holster or place your palms on a tray. One person generates ripples in the water. More than one person participating at the same time creates complex patterns of interference, which cast distraction­ingly beautiful shadows.

The patterns are not predictable. For the artist, this is one of the great pleasures of participation-based art. “I like how it creates a situation that is out of control — out of control for the artist, the curator and the critic.”

This kind of work often escapes the grasp of museum conservators as well. “Museums are afraid of this work because it can break.” Finkel, Jori. “Hooked on the ‘pulse’,” Los Angeles Times, October 17th 2010, “Arts & Books” section, p.E9
ilian says, “A lot of museums don’t even have a preparator specializing in A/V equipment.”

Then there’s the perennial obsolescence question: How do you preserve, works in which the technology often becomes outdated? In some cases, the artist says he follows the conceptual art tradition of Sol LeWitt, who determined that his artwork resides in a certain set of ideas, while conditions of its installation can vary.

For example, Lozano-Hemmer has told the Tate Modern that it can replace the projector and programming code used in an artwork they own, which involves projecting conjugated verbs onto visitors that follow them around the gallery until they touch another person, then the phrase jumps to them. “The artwork is this idea,” he says, speaking of surveillance systems and intimacy in crowds.

Yet in the case of “Pulse Room,” originally sold in an edition of four, the artist says the work simply would not exist without incandescent bulbs, which have already been banned by several countries and will be phased out in the U.S. by 2044.

“I’m in love with this particular form, with the burning of the filament, I’ve told collectors the piece will die without those bulbs.” (Some collectors are stockpiling.)

For Lozano-Hemmer these are not just pesky logistical issues to solve but philosophical issues worth exploring. It’s a chance for him to think about how an artwork evolves beyond the artist and moment of creation and what technology-based work means apart from its particular form of technology.

Above all, he doesn’t want his work defined by the devices that power it. “There are all sorts of devices that capture not just heart rates but body temperature, motion, voice, brain waves. But the richness of the work comes from its symbolic meaning, not from the development of platforms.”
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PLUGGED IN: “I work with technology because it’s inevitable,” says Rafael Lozano-Hemmer of his brand of wired art.